

Google Cloud and Youtube-8M Video Understanding Challenge

Hyun Sik Kim (hsik@stanford.edu)

Overview of project

Our project tackled the Youtube-8M challenge – the multi-label classification of videos in the Youtube-8M dataset.

Youtube-8M dataset

- ' million videos with 4,716 different labels (avg. of 3.4 labels / video)
- Frame-level features extracted from the Inception network
- Video level features are a simple mean across frames

Total no. of videos	Over 7 million (70% train / 20% validation / 10% test)
Total no. of labels	4,716 (avg. of 3.4 per video)
Original video length	120-500 seconds
No. of encoded frames	Up to 360 frames / sec per video
Visual features	1,024 dimensional (8-bit each)
Audio features	128 dimensional (8-bit each)

FC-BN network (video-level features)

Multi-layer feed-forward network comprising of repeating fullyconnected (FC) with ReLU and batch-normalization (BN) layers.

7 x layers network



- Relatively easy to train
- Audio features materially improved performance
- Inability to learn temporal relationships between frames

Summary of results

Performance of

Residual network (wit

Residual	1
Tempora	2
Audio fea	3
More sop	4

Residual network (video-level features)

3 x Residual Learning Block (RLB) network



Ryan Wong (rawong@stanford.edu)

Performance on validat	Global Average Precision					
Model		Hit@1 ⁽¹⁾	PERR ⁽¹⁾	mAP ⁽¹⁾		
Independent classifiers (w/out audio)		0.789	0.646	0.376		0.759
Mixture of experts (MoE) (w/out / with audio)		0.728 / 0.772	0.562 / 0.611	0.110 / 0.125		
FC-BN network	2 x layers	0.792 / 0.826	0.646 / 0.687	0.244 / 0.283	0.707	
(w/out / with audio)	5 x layers	0.756 / 0.844	0.595 / 0.712	0.111 / 0.346		
	7 x layers	0.772 / 0.807	0.613 / 0.653	0.125 / 0.144		
Residual network (with audio)	3 x RLB ⁽²⁾	0.853	0.725	0.399	Ind. Classifiers	FC-BN net
LSTM (w/out audio)	2 layers x 1,024	0.841	0.708	-	(4,716 classifiers)	(7x layers)
 (1) [x] / [y] in table entries where [x] denotes performan (2) Residual learning block (RLB). See architecture dia 	ce without audio features (just vis	sual) and [y] denotes perfor	mance with visual+audio.		Vi	deo-level featu

networks exhibited the best performance – easiest to optimize and more robust to hyperparameters

al cues are difficult to learn – well-designed video-level feature models can outperform frame-level ones (e.g. LSTM)

atures materially improved performance

phisticated video-level aggregation of features might improve performance – strong results based on simple mean of frames



Easier to optimize and more robust to hyperparameters

Inability to learn temporal relationships between frames

LSTM (frame-level features)

Multi-layer LSTM network based on frame-level features.

2 layers x 1,024 units per LSTM cell





